**Title in English**

**Firstname Lastname – Firstname Lastname**

**ABSTRACT**

The A single paragraph of about to 150 words. For scientific papers, abstract should give a pertinent overview of the work. We strongly encourage authors to use the following content of abstracts: Place the question addressed in a broad context and highlight the purpose of the study; Briefly describe the main methods or treatments applied; Summarize the paper's main findings; and Indicate the main conclusions or interpretations.

**Keywords:** keyword 1; keyword 2; keyword 3 (List 3-5 pertinent keywords specific to the paper)

**INTRODUCTION**

The paper should be written as in English as in mother tongue. Use this column to write your paper in English, please.

The introduction should briefly place the study in a broad context and highlight why it is important. It should define the purpose of the work and its significance. The current state of the research field should be reviewed carefully, and key publications cited. Then, briefly mention the main aim of the work and the topicality of problem solved. As far as possible, please keep the introduction comprehensible to scientists outside your field of research.

*Citations in the text*

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa).

All citations in the text should refer to:

1. Single Author: the Author’s family name and the year of publication; (Srivas, 2004).

2. Two Authors: both Authors‘ names and the year of publication; (Srivas and Lisle, 2004).

3. Three or more Authors: first Author‘s name followed by “*et al*.” and the year of publication; (Abshire *et al*., 2005).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Material and Methods should be described with sufficient details to allow others to replicate and build on published results. New methods and procedures should be described in detail, while well-established methods can be briefly described and appropriately cited.

**results and DISCUSSION**

Results and Discussion should provide a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation as well as the experimental conclusions that can be drawn. Authors should further discuss the results and how they can be interpreted in perspective of previous studies and of the working hypotheses. The findings and their implications should be discussed in the broadest context possible. Future research directions may also be introduced.

All figures and tables should be cited in the main text as Fig. 1, Tab. 1, etc.

Obrázok, na ktorom je námestie

Automaticky generovaný popis

**Fig. 1 Figures should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited.**

**A caption should be centered.**

**Tab. 1 This is a table. Tables should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Title 1 | Title 2 | Title 3 |
| Entry 1 | Data | Data |
| Entry 2 | Data | Data |

This is an example of an equation:

Q = m ∙ ∆Hef  (kJ∙kg-1) (1)

**Conclusion**

Conclusion hould include brief summary of the main results and should refer to the

determined objectives.
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