ACTA FACULTATIS XYLOLOGIAE ZVOLEN

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

It is necessary to agree upon standards of expected ethical behaviour for all parties involved in the act of publishing: the author, the scientific editor, the peer reviewer and the publisher. Our ethic statements are based on COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/Best_Practice.pdf)

Editor

Improving publication ethics

The editor will actively seek the opinions of authors, readers, reviewers, and editorial board members on ways to improve the journal. The editor will support initiatives to reduce academic misconduct and to educate researchers about publication ethics. Journal policies will be revised as necessary, considering new findings in journal editing and publishing and the impact of journal policies on the behaviour of authors and reviewers.

Publication decisions

The editor is responsible for deciding which papers submitted to the journal should be published. Decisions are driven by the quality and importance of the papers to researchers and readers. When deciding, the editor may consult with reviewers and the Editorial Board.

Fair play

The editor evaluates manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to the author's race, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. Submissions to the journal authored by the editor, the publisher's employees, or the members of the Editorial Board, will be handled in such a way as to ensure an unbiased review.

Confidentiality

The editor and editorial staff must not disclose any information about the submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisors, and the publisher.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

- a) Unpublished materials disclosed in the submitted manuscript may not be used in the editor's research without the express written consent of the author.
- b) Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be confidential and not used for personal advantage.
- c) The editor should refrain from considering manuscripts in which he has conflicts of interest arising from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or affiliations with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the submissions.
- d) The editor should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests, a step that fosters a culture of responsibility and accountability. If competing interests are discovered after publication, contributors are expected to post corrections, further reinforcing their accountability. If necessary, other appropriate measures should be taken, such as the publication of retraction or expression of concern.

Participation and cooperation in investigations

If ethical complaints have been made regarding a submitted manuscript or published paper, the editor should take appropriate action in cooperation with the publisher. Such action will generally include contacting the authors of the manuscript or published paper and considering the relevant complaints or claims made. However, it may also include further communication with appropriate institutions and research bodies and, if the complaint is upheld, publishing a correction, retraction, expression of concern, or other notice that may be relevant. Any reported unethical publishing behaviour must be investigated, even if discovered years after publication.

Open access

The journal Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen allows readers to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of its papers. It will enable readers to use them for any other lawful purpose.

The journal uses a funding model that does not charge readers or institutions access fees.

Originality

The editor should ensure that the manuscripts submitted to Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen editorial office are written as original works. The submitted scientific work is verified through the https://www.plag.sk/ anti-plagiarism program. After the evaluation, the editor takes a position on the verified work.

The Editorial Board and the scientific advisory board members

The publisher will establish a suitably qualified editorial board and scientific advisory board whose members can actively contribute to the development and good management of the journal. The functions and duties of the members of the editorial board are supporting and promoting the journal, pre-reviewing and reviewing submissions to the journal, searching for the best authors and the best works and actively encouraging the submission, accepting commissions to write reviews and commentaries in their field of expertise, participating and contributing to the editorial board meetings (meeting attendance is not required from members of the scientific advisory board). The editor is obliged to consult with the editorial board and scientific advisory board members at least once a year to evaluate their opinions on the journal's running, inform them of any changes in the journal's policy, and identify future challenges.

Authors

Reporting standards

Authors of original research reports should provide an accurate description of the work performed and an objective discussion of its significance. The primary data should be accurately stated in the document. The paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable. Papers in reviews and peer-reviewed publications should also be accurate and objective, and editorial opinions should be clearly identified as such.

Data access and retention

Authors may be asked to provide raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review and should be prepared to provide public access to such data if practicable and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Originality and plagiarism

Authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works and, if they have used the work and/or words of others, that the quotations or citations are accurately conveyed. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable. Plagiarism takes many forms, from "passing off" another's paper as the author's own, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another's paper (without attribution) to claiming the results of research carried out by others. By being aware of these forms, authors can avoid unintentional plagiarism.

Multiple, redundant, or concurrent publication

Authors should not submit manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication for publication. Submitting the same manuscript to multiple journals simultaneously constitutes unacceptable publishing behaviour. An author should not submit a paper previously published in another journal for review.

Acknowledgment of sources

Proper acknowledgement of the work of others must always be made. Authors should cite publications that were influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Information obtained privately, such as in conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, must not be used or reported without the explicit, written permission from the author. Information obtained through confidential services, such as refereed manuscripts or grant applications, may not be used without the author's express written permission for the work included in those services.

Contribution authorship

Authorship should be limited to those who contributed significantly to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who contributed significantly should be listed as co-authors. If others participated in certain essential aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are listed in the and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and agreed to submit it for publication.

Open access and copyright policy

The Journal publishes in an open access model. It provides immediate open access to its content under the Creative Commons BY 4.0 license. Authors who publish with this Journal retain all copyrights and agree to the terms of the above-mentioned Creative Commons BY 4.0 license. See the Open Access License document.

Creative Commons



Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be considered to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Examples of potential conflicts of interest that should be disclosed include employment, consultancy, stock

ownership, honoraria, paid professional testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest possible stage.

Fundamental errors in published works

When the authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify the editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper. If the editor or publisher learns from a third party that the published work contains a substantial error, it is the obligation of the authors promptly to retract or correct the paper or provide the editor with evidence of the correctness of the original paper.

Contribution to editorial decisions

Peer review helps the editor make editorial decisions and, through editorial communication with the authors, may also assist the authors in improving the paper. Peer review is essential to formal scholarly communication. The journal shares the view of many scientists that all who wish to contribute to publications have an obligation to do a fair share of reviewing.

Promptness

Any selected reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research presented in the manuscript or knows that a prompt review will not be possible should notify the editor and excuse themselves from the review process.

Confidentiality

All manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They may not be shown or discussed except with permission from the editor.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should clearly express their views with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgment of sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work not cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, inference, or argument has been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. Reviewers should also call the editor of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper they know personally.

Further considerations

Intellectual property

The journal will adopt procedures for detecting plagiarism in submitted items, both routinely and especially when suspicions are raised. The journal will support authors whose copyrights have been infringed or who are victims of plagiarism. The journal will take actions in accordance with the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE: http://publicationethics.org/).

Commercial issues

The journal will ensure that commercial considerations of authors do not affect editorial decisions.

Ensuring the integrity of the academic record

The publisher will ensure secure archiving of published materials.

Archiving

Archiving policy

The journal Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen enables the self-archiving of accepted and published papers through an open-access policy before and after publication of the paper in Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen.

Authors retain the copyright of their scientific work. They may archive the preprint and postprint or publisher's version/PDF at any time in personal or institutional repositories or libraries without permission from the journal or publisher.

Authors of published papers in Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen are entitled to deposit their accepted manuscript or version of published papers in institutional and/or centrally organized repositories. They may make this available to the public immediately after acceptance and publication, provided that the journal and publisher are identified as the original publication place, and the correct citation information is provided.

Upon acceptance of the contribution by the journal, the authors, when publishing their paper, confirm that the paper has been accepted for publication as follows:

This paper has been accepted for publication in the journal Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen, published by the Technical University in Zvolen, Faculty of Wood Sciences and Technology.

Authors can also make their published paper available to the public immediately after publication without any permission from various repositories, libraries, or personal websites, provided that they save the URL of their published paper in addition to the PDF version and adequately cite the journal Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen and Technical University in Zvolen, Faculty of Wood Sciences and Technology, as the publisher, along with other citation data.

Archiving and conservation

To preserve all published scientific content in the journal Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen, the publisher will hand over the contents of the journals by the currently valid Slovak law on compulsory copies to the Slovak National Library with its seat in Martin; The University Library in Bratislava and the Slovak Library of Forestry and Wood Sciences at the Technical University in Zvolen for archiving and long-term preservation.

With the aim of digital preservation of all professional content published in Acta Facultatis Xylologiae, the selected publisher created and maintains a digital archive available as openaccess data on the web: https://df.tuzvo.sk/sk/archive-afxz

Personal Data Protection GDPR

GDPR personal data protection notes

The journal Acta Facultatis Xylologiae Zvolen, T. G. Masaryka 24, 960 01 Zvolen, Slovakia, collects data about authors, reviewers, and editors, including personal names, titles, contact details, areas of professional interest, and (optionally) biography and photo, all in compliance with the EU GDPR—General Data Protection Regulation.

The information is used to help editors select appropriate reviewers, contact reviewers and authors, and provide the necessary information in published papers. Data is used only to the extent required for publication, and information not included in the paper or endorsement will not be shared with third parties.

Data will be retained until revoked or deleted at the request of the author/reviewer/editor. You can send all requests, corrections, or complaints to the editorial office at afxz@tuzvo.sk